CETATIOM AN EU perspective

Anne-Marie Mineur, MEP Socialist Party, Netherlands / GUE/NGL Stockholm, 18 June 2016

- CETA, the 'nice' agreement or is it?
- What do the unions say?
- The EU debate about arbitration
- The EU timeline

Overview

- If anyone has heard about the free trade agreements, it is about TTIP, not CETA
- Canada is a much more liberal country
- Trudeau is a nice guy
- CETA covers fewer areas than TTIP

CETA, the 'nice' agreement — or is it? /1

TTIP

environment consumers public health working conditions social protection social security human, animal & plant life animal welfare health & safety personal data cyber security cultural diversity financial stability

CETA

SPS (Sanitary and Phyto sanitary), i.e. animal & plant life TBT (technical barriers to trade) cross-border trade in services environment sustainable development labour

CETA, the 'nice' agreement — or is it? /2

However, the similarities are greater than the differences

- Agricultural practices (asthma drug ractopamine, neonicotinoid pesticide, glyphosate / GMO, hormones)
- American companies have a large say in Canada
 - 80% of American multinationals have a significant interest in a Canadian company
 - ISDS cases can be started via Canada
- Regulatory cooperation
- CETA has an ISDS variant (ICS)

CETA, the 'nice' agreement — or is it? /3

In 2014 ETUC has made clear it opposed CETA because:

- It includes an Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS)
 mechanism which gives inappropriate legal advantages
 to foreign investors;
- It does not unequivocally exclude public services in European countries from areas that can be liberalised;
- It does not include enforcement procedures to guarantee the implementation of standards of the International Labour Organisation (ILO).

What do unions say? /1

A study by the Austrian Arbeiterkammer found

- CETA does cover public services
- Negative listing means that everything is bound unless explicitly excluded ('list it or lose it')
- Lock-in of current and future levels of liberalisation
- Fragmentary nature and uncertain scope of exceptions for public services
- Sneaking treaty amendments bypassing parliamentary processes
- Undermining democratic law- and policy-making

What do unions say? /2

Five changes are needed, according to ETUC and CLC in a joint statement from May 2016:

- 1. Drop the "VIP process for foreign investors";
- Violations of CETA's labour provisions should be subject to its dispute settlement process and punishable ultimately with sanctions;
- 3. Ensure that new services are not subject to "liberalisation by default";
- Categorically exclude public services from liberalisation.
- 5. Delete "unconditional" access of foreign firms to public procurement contracts.

What do unions say? /3

- ISDS is <u>very</u> controversial
- A public consultation published in April 2015 got an unprecedented number of 150.000 responses
- 97% were negative
- The social democrats revolted
- Cecilia Malmström had to come up with a plan B

Investment Court System (ICS) is a botoxed ISDS. Improvements:

- A bit more transparency
- A bit fewer incentives for lawyers to stall lawsuits
- A court of appeal is added within the system

However, both ISDS and ICS

- are only for foreign investors (class justice)
- require compliance with trade agreement only, not with national law or European treaties
- offer no possibility of appeal with national or European courts
- are used to pressure governments ('regulatory chill')
- create parallel justice system can the EU do that?

ICS, too, is controversial. Criticism by

- scientists (Gus Van Harten, Andreas Fisahn)
- judges (European association of judges, Deutscher Richterbund)
- NGOs (Client Earth, Friends of the Earth)

It is time to ask the European Court of Justice (ECJ) for an opinion.

Asking an opinion from the ECJ can be done by

- European Commission ← Cecilia Malmström
- European Council ← our ministers
- European Parliament ← us
- Member states ← you

A big challenge...

CETA is ready to go

- Negotiations are completed (including a last minute switch from ISDS to ICS)
- Legal scrubbing is done
- Translation to all 25 languages of the EU has been completed

The decision making is about to start

- Final decision in Commission: 5th July
- Debate in Council: 22nd September
- Debate in Parliament: Winter 2016 (?)
- Two issues for Council
 - Approval of agreement text
 - Decision on competences: EU only, or mixed?

- Since the Lisbon Treaty ('The European Constitution') trade deals have become a competence of the European Union
 - A qualified majority in the Council would suffice:
 55% of member states, representing at least 65% of the EU population
 - Member states would have no say in the matter

But are TTIP and CETA simply trade deals?

- An agreement can only be 'EU only' if it does not affect areas in which the EU has only shared competence
 - (e.g. agriculture, fisheries, environment)
- or supporting competence
 - (e.g. health, industry, education)
- In all other cases, the agreement has to be 'mixed', i.e. shared competence.

The Council wants a mixed agreement.

However, the Commission wants an EU only agreement.

- The Council has the decisive vote. It can only change a proposal by the Commission by unanimity.
- A blocking minority (at least four Council members representing more than 35% of the EU population) can stop a proposal.
- Italy has said it will support the Council. Germany has said it will insist on mixity.
- Luxemburg has said it wants to wait for the advice of the European Court on the Singapore agreement.

Dutch referendum

- Citizen's initiative
- Corrective, i.e. in response to a decision by the Dutch parliament
- Not possible if CETA is EU only

Irish referendum

Obligatory if conflicting with Irish constitution

- Inform yourself, and then others
- Sign stop-ttip.org
- Join the protests
- Write to your MP / MEP / union
- Come to Brussels on 20th September

What you can do

Dank je wel! Tusen tack!